Approved For Release 2001/03/07 : CIA-RDP96-00788R000400120008-8

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

IAOPS-H-S

SUBJECT: SPECIAL ANALYTIC REPORT INFORMATION (U)

24 October 1980 (Date)

SECTION I

REPORT REQUIREMENT (D-61)

1. (U) The information provided as enclosure to this report was obtained in
response to a collection requirement provided by your office. The information
is from an unconfirmed source, therefore caution is warranted in its use.
This office has been tasked by the Commanding General, Intelligence and Security
Command, to evaluate and comment on the operational value of the information
provided, as well as to ascertain the accuracy of the source.
2. (II) In order to comply with this directive request the information provided

- 2. (U) In order to comply with this directive, request the information provided as an enclosure be evaluated and comments be provided this office no later than _____. This should be accomplished by responding to questions provided within Section III of this report.
- 3. (U) Section II contains special instructions for the analysts.
- 4. (U) Project Number: <u>8033</u>.
- 5. (U) Requestor: ACSI
- 6. (S) Source Number: 19.5 .
- 7. (S) Interrogator Number: 14.
- 8. (S) Date Information was Obtained: 25 SEP80 Times: FM 1500 /TO 1530 .
- 9. (S) Specific information provided by the requestor in support of required source targeting is:

SG1B

LO.	(s)	Specific	information	provided	to the	interrog	gator to	aid in	source	
car	geting	was: Se	e item 9,	above			rincell delikerione and mortificial response professionary and	The State of the s	, and Marcal spacetime supplication with the Section Section (Section 2) subjectives as a	~*
	el-station of the contract of	MATTER Many Water Management of the Section 1997		MPV MILL - 1985 HANGA DE MINISTERA D'A PRINCIPADO DE SE MAJO A ANGELO DE SE MAJO A ANG	to verse de constante de la co			nai y a 1861 ani Shadana yan ya mayaya a maja a ma		
11.	(S)	Specific cation of	information ship b. Name	provided	to the	source f	or targe	t orien	tation was:	_
		1844 - 1844 (1844 - 18		Modern and the second by the second place of the second se	Market Communication and Company			1		_

CLASSIFIED BY: MSG, HQDA (DAMI-ISH)
Dtd; 051630Z JUL 78

Review On:

24 October 2000

Approved For Release 2001/03/07-: 61A-R-P96-00788R000400120008-8

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

	12.	(S) Specific information requested by analyst:
SG1B			
	13.	(5)	DUDO TITTOTINUOTOLI TOTMUTUCU: VO monthiore contrata cont
	14.	(U)	Has additional data been requested: YES NO X
	15.	(U)	Priority: URGENT X ROUTINE .
	16.	() Remarks:
		4770000	
		JEED PROGRAM	
		distribution of	

SECTION II

INSTRUCTIONS TO ANALYSTS

- 1. (U) The material you have been given to review has been acquired from a new and potentially valuable source of intelligence. Work is currently being conducted on how to improve the accuracy and reliability of the data. Your attention to the evaluation sheet and your remarks will be the basis for our assessment of this new collection technique. Therefore, the effort you expend will greatly assist us and will ultimately result in you receiving more information of increasing accuracy and reliability.
- 2. (U) While formulating your judgements concerning the information provided, the following comments concerning this new source of intelligence may be helpful. Foremost, the information is likely to consist of a mixture of correct and incorrect elements. Specifically:
- a. (S) The <u>descriptive</u> elements are generally of higher reliability than <u>judgements or labels</u> as to what is being described (recreational swimming pool may be mistaken for water purification pools, an aircraft hull may be mistaken for a submarine hull, etc.). Therefore, seemingly appropriate descriptive elements should not be rejected because of mislabeling.
- b. (S) The data often contains gaps (in a 3-building complex, for example, perhaps only two of the buildings may be described, and an airfield may be added that isn't there). Such gaps or additions should not be taken to mean that the rest of the data is necessarily inaccurate.
- 3. (S) Therefore, a recommended approach is to first examine the entire information packet to obtain an overall flavor of the response, reserving final judgement even in the face of certain errors, and then go back through for a more detailed analysis.
- 4. (U) If you have questions regarding the data you have received, or its evaluation please contact this office (IAOPS-H-S) at any time.